Constraints from Higgsstrahlung Signal

Haijun Yang **Keith Riles**

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

SLAC LCD Meeting

August 6, 2002

Monte Carlo Generator & Simulation

- MC Generator: PANDORA V2.2, PYTHIAV3.1
  Using NLC Beam Energy Spread (1%)
  ⇒ Thanks to Michael E. Peskin, Masako Iwasaki.

- Analysis Platform: JAVA Analysis Studio V2.2.5
  ⇒ Thanks to Tony Johnson, Mike Ronan,
  Wolfgang Walkowiak.

- Full MC comes from SLAC lcddata server
  ⇒ Thanks to Gary Bower, Norman Graf.

- Detectors: LDMAR01(LD), SDMAR01(SD)

- $e^+e^- \to ZH \to \mu^+\mu^- X(\gamma)$
  $\sqrt{S} = 350,\ 500$ GeV
  $M_H = 120,\ 140,\ 160$ GeV
  $\mathcal{L} = 50 - 1000 fb^{-1}$

Track Momentum Resolution



- UDSCB Full and Fast MC at 500 GeV

⇒ Thanks to Bruce A. Schumm for expected momentum resolution.

Track Momentum Resolution



- UDSCB Full and Fast MC at 500 GeV

⇒ Thanks to Bruce A. Schumm for expected momentum resolution.

Higgs Mass Distr. After Selection









- Comparison of Pandora V2.1 & V2.2.
- Comparison of Fast & Full MC of Pandora V2.2.

Accuracy of Higgs Mass



- Fitting function: Gaussian + polynomial(P3)
- Integrated Luminosities: 50-1000 fb^{-1}

Fitted Resolution of Higgs Mass



- Fitting function: Gaussian + polynomial(P3)
- Resolution is a measure of higgs mass width

Accuracy of Higgs Mass







- Monte Carlo Interpolation Method

Relative Error of Cross Section







- Monte Carlo Interpolation Method

Higgs Mass Distributions



- FAST MC: $ZH \to \mu^+\mu^- X(\gamma)$, $M_H = 140$ GeV, LDMAR01
- Higgs mass distributions. Track momentum resolutions $\Delta(\frac{1}{P_t})$ are re-scaled by factor fac(0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0).

Higgs Mass Distributions



- FAST MC: $ZH \to \mu^+\mu^- X(\gamma)$, $M_H = 140$ GeV, LDMAR01
- Higgs mass distributions. Track momentum resolutions $\Delta(\frac{1}{P_t})$ are re-scaled by factor fac(0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0).

Higgs Mass Resolutions (Pandora V2.1)



- Raw recoil mass is fitted by single gaussian.

⇒ Higgs mass resolution is **insensitive** to track angular resolution.

Higgs Mass Resolutions (Pandora V2.1)



⇒ Higgs mass resolution is **sensitive** to track momentum resolution.

⇒ SDMAR01 is better than LDMAR01

⇒ NLC 350 GeV is better than NLC 500 GeV

Higgs Mass Resolutions - Fast MC



- Backgrounds from ZZ & WW are considered.

⇒ Higgs mass resolution is **sensitive** to track momentum resolution, BUT ...

Higgs Mass Resolutions - Full MC



- Backgrounds from ZZ & WW are considered.

⇒ Higgs mass resolution and accuracy from full MC is worse than that from fast MC.

Higgs Mass Accuracy



- Backgrounds from ZZ and WW are considered.

⇒ Higgs mass accuracy from MC interpolation fit looks better.

Cross Section of $ZH \to \mu^+\mu^- X(\gamma)$



$\Rightarrow$ Cross section of $ZH \to \mu^+\mu^- X(\gamma)$ is **insensitive** to track momentum resolution.

Preliminary Conclusions

$\Rightarrow$ Track momentum resolution:

Full MC is worse than Fast MC

$\Rightarrow$ Higgs mass resolution and accuracy:

insensitive to track angular resolutions

sensitive to degraded momentum resolution

SDMAR01 is better than LDMAR01

NLC 350 GeV is better than NLC 500 GeV

$\Rightarrow$ Cross section of $ZH \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X(\gamma)$:

insensitive to track momentum resolution

$\Rightarrow$ Central tracking $\delta(\frac{1}{p_t}) \sim 3 \times 10^{-5}(GeV/c)^{-1}$

is around optimal in current NLC beam setup.

$\Rightarrow$ Physics potential may gain by:

decreasing beam energy spread.

- Beam energy spread of NLC500H: 0.01(e^+), 0.01(e^-)
- Beam energy spread of TESLA500: 0.0011(e^+), 0.0048(e^-)